



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/749,942

12/31/2003

Peter D. Mueller

MP1434

6664

60537

7590

03/17/2008

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE/MARVELL

P.O. BOX 10395

CHICAGO, IL 60610

EXAMINER

ZHU, BO HUI ALVIN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2619

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/17/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/749,942	Applicant(s) MUELLER ET AL.	
	Examiner BO HUI A. ZHU	Art Unit 2619	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BO HUI A. ZHU (Examiner). (3)_____.

(2) ADIL MUSABJI (Appl. Rep.). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 04 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Callway et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The 112 2nd paragraph rejection of claim 1 was discussed. Mr. Musabji explained the intended meaning of claim 1 and how the claimed invention differs from that of the Callway reference. The Examiner explained how the 112 2nd paragraph rejection was applied to claim 1.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Hassan Kizou/
SPE, Art unit2619

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required